SOD 311 — Linear-quadratic optimal control problems

Laurent Pfeiffer (Inria and CentraleSupélec, University Paris-Saclay)

[Objectives]

- Goal: investigating linear-quadratic optimal control problems and their associated linear optimality system.
- Issues: existence of a solution, optimality conditions, connexion with the Riccati equation (a non-linear ODE which yields a feedback law).

[Bibliography] The following references are related to Lecture 2:

- E. Trélat, Contrôle optimal : théorie et applications. Version électronique, 2013. (Chapitre 3).
- E. Sontag, Mathematical Control Theory, Springer, 1998. (Chapter 8).
- U. Boscain and Y. Chitour. Introduction à l'automatique (Chapitre 5) / Introduction to automatic control (Chapter 8). Available on U. Boscain's webpage.

1. EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION

[Linear quadratic optimal control] Consider the following LQ optimal control problem:

$$\inf \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\langle y(t), Wy(t) \rangle + \|u(t)\|^{2} \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \langle y(T), Ky(T) \rangle$$

st:
$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(0) = y_{0}. \end{cases}$$
 (P(y_{0}))

In the above minimization problem, $y \in H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m).$ Data and assumptions:

• Time horizon: T > 0.

- Dynamics coefficients: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
- Cost coefficients: $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, both assumed symmetric positive semi-definite.

The initial condition $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is seen as a *parameter* of the problem.

[The generic constant M] Convention.

All constants M appearing in forthcoming lemmas will depend on A, B, W, K, and T only. They will not depend on y_0 .

We use the same name for (a priori) independent constants. This is acceptable in so far as all statements remain true if the value of M is **increased**.

[The Sobolev space $H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$] The space $H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as follows: $H^{1}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n}) = \left\{ y \in L^{2}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n}) \, | \, \dot{y} \in L^{2}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n}) \right\}$ where \dot{y} denotes the weak derivative of y. It is a Hilbert space, equipped with the scalar product:

$$\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = \int_0^T \langle y_1(t), y_2(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T \langle \dot{y}_1(t), \dot{y}_2(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$
and the norm

$$\|y\|_{H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\|y\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\dot{y}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 1. The space $H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ is contained in the set of continuous functions from [0,T] to \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, all usual calculus rules are valid (in particular, integration by parts).

[State equation] Given $u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $y_0 \in$ \mathbb{R}^n , let $y[u, y_0] \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the solution to the state equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + Bu(t), \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

Lemma 2. The map $(u, y_0) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto y[u, y_0] \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is **linear**. There exists $M > 0$ such that for all $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|y[u, y_0]\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M(\|y_0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)}), \\ \|y[u, y_0]\|_{H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M(\|y_0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

Proof. A direct application of Duhamel's formula and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

[Reduced problem] Let $J: L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $J(u) = J_1(u) + J_2(u) + J_3(u),$

where

$$J_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y[u, y_0](t), Wy[u, y_0](t) \rangle dt$$

$$J_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T ||u(t)||^2 dt$$

$$J_3(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y[u, y_0](T), Ky[u, y_0](T) \rangle.$$

Consider the reduced problem, equivalent to $(P(y_0)),$ T()· c $(\mathbf{D}I(\mathbf{v}))$

$$\inf_{u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} J(u). \qquad (P'(y_0))$$

[Weak lower semi-continuity]

Definition 3. A map $F: L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be weakly lower semi-continuous (resp. weakly continuous) if for any weakly convergent sequence $(u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with weak limit \bar{u} , it holds

$$F(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_k) \quad \left(\operatorname{resp.} F(\bar{u}) = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_k) \right).$$

Lemma 4. The map J is strictly convex and weakly lower semi-continuous.

Proof.

• J_1, J_2 , and J_3 are convex, J_2 is strictly convex • J_1 and J_3 are weakly continuous, J_2 is weakly lower semi-continuous

[Regularity of J]

Let $(u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, let $\bar{u}\in$ $L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$. Assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. Let $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$ and $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Then,

• $(u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$

• by Lemma 2, y_k is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that $y[u_k, y_0](t) \to y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \text{ for all } t \in [0, T].$

Step 1: This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), Ky_k(T) \rangle \to \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus J_3 is weakly continuous.

[**Regularity of** J] Step 2: By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$J_1(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y_k(t), Wy_k(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t \to = J_1(\bar{u}).$$

Step 3: Finally, we have:

$$J_{2}(u_{k}) - J_{2}(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} ||u_{k}(t)||^{2} - ||\bar{u}(t)||^{2} dt$$
$$= \underbrace{\int_{0}^{T} \langle \bar{u}(t), u_{k}(t) - \bar{u}(t) \rangle dt}_{\rightarrow 0} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{T} ||u_{k}(t) - \bar{u}(t)||^{2} dt}_{\geq 0}.$$

Therefore, $\liminf J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) \ge 0$ and J_2 is weakly lower semi-continuous.

[Existence result]

Lemma 5. For all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the problem $(P'(y_0))$ has a unique solution $\bar{u}[y_0]$. Moreover, there exists a constant M, independent of y_0 , such that

$$\|\bar{u}[y_0]\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} \le M \|y_0\|$$

Proof. Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence. W.l.o.g.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_k\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 = J_2(u_k) \leq J(u_k) \leq J(0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(M \|y_0\|\right)^2.$$

Extracting a subsequence, we can assume that $u_k \rightarrow \bar{u}$, for some $\bar{u} \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m).$ We have $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|$, moreover

$$J(\bar{u}) \le \liminf J(u_k) = \inf_{u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} J(u).$$

Thus, \bar{u} is optimal. Strict convexity of $J \Longrightarrow$ uniqueness.

[Fréchet differentiability]

Definition 6. The map J is said to be **Fréchet differentiable** if for any $u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, there exists a continuous linear form $DJ(u): L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{|J(u+v) - J(u) - DJ(u)v|}{\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}} \xrightarrow[\|v\|_{L^2\downarrow 0} 0.$$

Remark. A sufficient condition for Fréchet differentiability is to have

 $|J(u+v) - J(u) - DJ(u)v| \le M ||v||_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}^2,$ for all v and for some M independent of v.

[Fréchet differentiability]

Lemma 7. The map J is Fréchet differentiable. Let \bar{u} and $v \in L^2(0, \hat{T}; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ and let $z \in y[v, 0]$. Omitting the time variable, rT

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \int_0 \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t + \langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle.$$

Proof. First, $y[u+v, y_0] - y[u, y_0] = y[v, 0] = z.$

We have

$$J_1(\bar{u}+v) - J_1(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t}_{=DJ_1(\bar{u})v} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle z, Wz \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t}_{=\mathcal{O}\left(\|z\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2\right)} \\ = \mathcal{O}\left(\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}^2\right)$$

[Fréchet differentiability] Similarly, we have

$$J_2(\bar{u}+v) - J_2(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t}_{=DJ_2(\bar{u})v} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}^2.$$
and

and

$$J_3(\bar{u}+v) - J_3(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle}_{=DJ_3(\bar{u})v} + \langle z(T), Kz(T) \rangle.$$

[Riesz representative] Pre-hamiltonian: given $(u, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ $H(u, y, p) = \frac{1}{2} (\langle y, Wy \rangle + ||u||^2) + \langle p, Ay + Bu \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$ We have $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}} H(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}) = \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{p}$ $\nabla_u H(u, y, p) = u + B^\top p.$ Lemma 8. Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u},y_0]$. Let $p \in H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the solution to $-\dot{p}(t) = \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), \quad p(T) = K\bar{y}(T).$ Then, $DJ(\bar{u})v = \left\langle \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{y}(\cdot), p(\cdot)), v \right\rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}.$

[Riesz representative] *Proof.* We have

$$\langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle = \langle p(T), z(T) \rangle - \langle p(0), z(0) \rangle$$

$$= \int_0^T \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle p(t), z(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_0^T \langle \dot{p}(t), z(t) \rangle + \langle p(t), \dot{z}(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_0^T \langle -A^\top p - W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle p, Az + Bv \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_0^T - \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle B^\top p, v \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Combined with Lemma 7 and the expression of $\nabla_u H(u, y, p)$, we obtain the result.

[Pontryagin's principle]

Theorem 9. Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Let \bar{p} be defined by the adjoint equation $-\dot{p}(t) = \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = A^\top \bar{p}(t) + W \bar{y}(t),$ $\bar{p}(T) = K \bar{y}(T)$. Then, \bar{u} is a solution to $(P'(y_0))$ if and only if $\bar{u}(t) + B^\top \bar{p}(t) = \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = 0,$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

Proof. Since J is convex, \bar{u} is optimal if and only if $DJ(\bar{u}) = 0$. Remark. By convexity of $H(\cdot, \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t))$,

 $\begin{aligned} \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t),\bar{y}(t),\bar{p}(t)) &= 0 \\ \Longleftrightarrow \bar{u}(t) \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{v \in \mathbb{R}^m} H(v,\bar{y}(t),\bar{p}(t)). \end{aligned}$

[Estimate of p]

Lemma 10. Let \bar{u} denote the solution to $(P'(y_0))$, let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$, and let \bar{p} be the associated costate. Then, there exists a constant M, independent of y_0 , such that

 $\|\bar{p}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|$ and $\|\bar{p}\|_{H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|$. *Proof.* We know that

 $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|$ and $\|\bar{y}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|$. Denote $\tilde{p}(t) = \bar{p}(T-t)$. Then \tilde{p} is solution to

 $\tilde{p}(t) = A^{\top} \tilde{p}(t) + W \bar{y}(T-t), \quad \tilde{p}(0) = K \bar{y}(T).$ Duhamel \implies bounds of \tilde{p} in $L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n).$

[A last formula]

Lemma 11. Let $\bar{u} = \bar{u}[y_0]$, let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$, and let \bar{p} be the associated costate. Then,

$$V(y_0) := \left(\inf_{u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} J(u)\right) = J(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle$$

Proof. We have

$$2J_3(\bar{u}) = \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = \langle \bar{p}(T), \bar{y}(T) \rangle$$
$$= \int_0^T \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle \bar{p}(t), \bar{y}(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t + \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

[A last formula] We further have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle \bar{p}(t), \bar{y}(t) \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_{0}^{T} \langle \dot{p}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle \bar{p}, \dot{y} \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \langle -A^{\top} \bar{p} - W \bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle \bar{p}, A \bar{y} + B \bar{u} \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} - \langle W \bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle B^{\top} \bar{p}, \bar{u} \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} - \langle W \bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle - \|\bar{u}\|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= -2J_{1}(\bar{u}) - 2J_{2}(\bar{u}).$$
Combining the last two equalities, we obtain

$$J(\bar{u}) = J_{1}(\bar{u}) + J_{2}(\bar{u}) + J_{3}(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{p}(0), y_{0} \rangle.$$

3. RICCATI EQUATION

[Linear optimality system] The numerical resolution of $(P'(y_0))$ boils down to the numerical resolution of the following linear optimality system:

$$\begin{split} \dot{y}(t) &- Ay(t) - Bu(t) = 0 \\ \dot{p}(t) &+ A^\top p(t) + Wy(t) = 0 \\ &u(t) + B^\top p(t) = 0 \\ &p(T) - Ky(T) = 0 \\ &y(0) = y_0. \end{split}$$

State equation Adjoint equation Minimality condition Initial condition Terminal condition

More precisely: Theorem 17 ensures that the optimality system has a unique solution, which is $(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p})$.

[Linear optimality system] After elimination of $u = -B^{\top}p$, we obtain the coupled system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) - Ay(t) + BB^{\top}p(t) = 0\\ \dot{p}(t) + A^{\top}p(t) + Wy(t) = 0\\ p(T) - Ky(T) = 0\\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$
(OS(y_0))

 $[{\bf Key}~{\bf idea}]$ A key idea is to ${\bf decouple}$ the linear system, by constructing a map

$$E\colon [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

independent of y_0 , such that for any solution (y, p) to $(OS(y_0))$, we have

$$p(t) = -E(t)y(t).$$

Roadmap. Once E has been constructed, we have:

 $\dot{y} = Ay + Bu = Ay - BB^\top p = (A + BB^\top E)y$

together we the initial condition $y(0) = y_0$. Thus, y can be computed by solving a linear differential system. Then, p and u are obtained via

$$p = -Ey$$
 and $u = -B^{+}p$.

[Derivation of the Riccati equation] Wanted: p = -Ey. The terminal condition p(T) = Ky(T)yields

E(T) = -K.Next, by differentiation, we have:

$$\dot{p} = -\dot{E}y - E\dot{y},$$

therefore.

l

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{E}y &= \dot{p} + E\dot{y} \\ &= \left[-A^{\top}p - Wy \right] + \left[E(Ay - BB^{\top}p) \right] \\ &= \left[A^{\top}Ey - Wy \right] + \left[E(Ay + BB^{\top}Ey) \right] \\ &= \left(A^{\top}E + EA - W + EBB^{\top}E \right) y. \end{aligned}$$

[Riccati equation]

Theorem 12. There exists a unique smooth solution to the following matrix differential equation, called **Riccati equation:**

$$-\dot{E}(t) = A^{\top}E(t) + E(t)A - W + E(t)BB^{\top}E(t)$$
$$E(T) = -K.$$

(RE)Moreover, for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the optimal trajectory \bar{y} for $(P'(y_0))$ is the solution to the closed-loop system

$$\dot{y}(t) = (A + BB^{\top}E(t))y(t), \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

It also holds:
$$\bar{p}(t) = -E(t)\bar{y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}[y_0](t) = \underbrace{B^{\top}E(t)\bar{y}(t)}_{\text{Feedback law!}}.$$
(1)

[Riccati equation] *Proof. Step 1.* The only difficulty is to prove that (RE) is well-posed. Once we have a solution E, the closed-loop system and relation (1) define a triplet (u, y, p) which satisfies the linear optimality system:

- (y, u) satisfies the state equation
- *u* satisfies the minimality condition
- *p* satisfies the adjoint equation:

$$-\dot{p} = \dot{E}y + E\dot{y} = \dots = A^{\top}p + Wy$$

Thus $(u, y, p) = (\bar{u}, \bar{y}, \bar{p}).$

[**Riccati equation**] Step 2. The Riccati equation has the abstract form:

 $-\dot{E}(t) = \mathcal{F}(E(t)), \quad E(T) = -K.$

The map $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is polynomial, thus **lo**cally Lipschitz continuous (but not globally Lipschitz continuous!).

By the Picard-Lindelöf theorem, there exists $\tau \in [-\infty, T)$ such that (RE) has a unique solution on $(\tau, T]$. If $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\lim_{t \to \tau} \|E(t)\| = \infty.$$

[Riccati equation] Step 3. Assume that $\tau \ge 0$. Let $s \in (\tau, T]$. Let $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider

$$\inf \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{T} \left(\langle y(t), Wy(t) \rangle + \|u(t)\|^{2} \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \langle y(T), Ky(T) \rangle$$

s.t.:
$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(s) = y_{s}. \end{cases}$$

Adapting the theory developed previously, we prove the existence of a unique solution (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) with associated costate p, such that

$$p(s) = -E(s)y_s$$
 and $||p(s)|| \le M||y_s||.$ (2)

Here the constant M is independent of y_s , (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) and p, it can also be shown to be independent of s.

[Riccati equation] Conclusion. Let s > 0 be such that

$$||E(s)|| \ge M+2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm and where M is the constant appearing in (2). Let $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ be such that

 $||E(s)y_s|| \ge (M+1)||y_s||.$

Therefore,

$$||p(s)|| \ge (M+1)||y_s|| > M||y_s||.$$

A contradiction.

[Additional properties]

Lemma 13. (1) For all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$V(y_0) := \left(\inf_{u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)} J(u)\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, E(0)y_0 \rangle.$$

- (2) For all $t \in [0, T]$, E(t) is symmetric negative semi-definite.
- (3) For all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nabla V(y_0) = \bar{p}(0)$.

Proof.

- (1) We have $V(y_0) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, E(0)y_0 \rangle.$
- (2) Verify that E^{\top} is the solution (RE). Moreover, $V(y_0) \ge 0.$
- (3) We have $\nabla V(y_0) = -E(0)y_0 = \bar{p}(0)$.

4. SHOOTING METHOD

[Optimality system] Recall the optimality system to be solved: $\begin{cases} \dot{y} = Ay - BB^{\top}p, & y(0) = y_0, \\ \dot{p} = -A^{\top}p - Wy, & p(T) = Ky(T). \end{cases}$ Equivalently: $\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} A & -BB^{\top} \\ W & A^{\top} \end{pmatrix}}_{W} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \ y(0) = y_0, \ p(T) = Ky(T).$ The optimality system is a two-point boundary value problem. If p(0) was known, then the differential system could be solved numerically.

Shooting method: find p(0) such that p(T) =Ky(T).

[Shooting] Setting $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} = e^{TR}$, we have the equivalent formulation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(T) \\ p(T) \end{pmatrix} = e^{TR} \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix}, \ y(0) = y_0, \ p(T) = Ky(T).$$

The optimality system reduces to the shooting equation:

$$X_{3}y_{0} + X_{4}p(0) = K(X_{1}y_{0} + X_{2}p(0))$$

$$\iff p(0) = (X_{4} - KX_{2})^{-1} (KX_{1} - X_{3})y_{0}.$$
(SE)

[Shooting algorithm]

In the LQ case, the shooting algorithm consists then

in the EQ case, the shooring algorithm consists then in the following steps:
Compute e^{TR}, by solving the matrix differential equation

$$\dot{X}(t) = RX(t), \quad X(0) = I,$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$.

- Solve the **shooting equation** (SE) and find p_0 .
- Solve the differential equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• The optimal control is given by $u = -B^{\top}p$.