

# Optimal Control of Ordinary Differential Equations

## SOD 311

**Laurent Pfeiffer**

Inria and CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay

Ensta-Paris  
Paris-Saclay University

*Inria*



## Lecture 2:

# Linear-quadratic optimal control problems

- *Goal:* investigating linear-quadratic optimal control problems and their associated linear optimality system.
- *Issues:* existence of a solution, optimality conditions, connexion with the Riccati equation (a non-linear ODE which yields a feedback law).



1 Existence of a solution

2 Pontryagin's principle

3 Riccati equation

4 Shooting method

# Linear quadratic optimal control

Consider the following LQ optimal control problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\substack{y \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left( \langle y(t), W y(t) \rangle + \|u(t)\|^2 \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \langle y(T), K y(T) \rangle \\ \text{subject to:} & \begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = A y(t) + B u(t) \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (P(y_0))$$

*Data and assumptions:*

- Time horizon:  $T > 0$ .
- Dynamics coefficients:  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
- Cost coefficients:  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , both assumed symmetric positive semi-definite.

The initial condition  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is seen as a *parameter* of the problem.

# The generic constant $M$

*Convention.*

All constants  $M$  appearing in forthcoming lemmas will depend on  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $W$ ,  $K$ , and  $T$  only. They **will not depend** on  $y_0$ .

We use **the same name** for (a priori) independent constants. This is acceptable in so far as all statements remain true if the value of  $M$  is **increased**.

# The Sobolev space $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$

The space  $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as follows:

$$H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ y \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \dot{y} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

where  $\dot{y}$  denotes the weak derivative of  $y$ . It is a **Hilbert space**, equipped with the scalar product:

$$\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = \int_0^T \langle y_1(t), y_2(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle \dot{y}_1(t), \dot{y}_2(t) \rangle dt$$

and the norm  $\|y\|_{H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \|y\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\dot{y}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$ .

## Lemma 1

*The space  $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  is contained in the set of continuous functions from  $[0, T]$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, all usual calculus rules are valid (in particular, integration by parts).*

# State equation

Given  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $y[u, y_0] \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the solution to the state equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + Bu(t), \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

## Lemma 2

*The map  $(u, y_0) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto y[u, y_0] \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear. There exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and for all  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$\|y[u, y_0]\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M(\|y_0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}),$$

$$\|y[u, y_0]\|_{H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M(\|y_0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}).$$

*Proof.* A direct application of Duhamel's formula and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.



# Reduced problem

Let  $J: L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$J(u) = J_1(u) + J_2(u) + J_3(u),$$

where

$$J_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y[u, y_0](t), W y[u, y_0](t) \rangle dt$$

$$J_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 dt$$

$$J_3(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y[u, y_0](T), K y[u, y_0](T) \rangle.$$

Consider the **reduced problem**, equivalent to  $(P(y_0))$ ,

$$\inf_{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} J(u). \quad (P'(y_0))$$

# Weak lower semi-continuity

## Definition 3

A map  $F: L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **weakly lower semi-continuous** (resp. **weakly continuous**) if for any weakly convergent sequence  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with weak limit  $\bar{u}$ , it holds

$$F(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_k) \quad \left( \text{resp. } F(\bar{u}) = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_k) \right).$$

## Lemma 4

*The map  $J$  is strictly convex and weakly lower semi-continuous.*

*Proof.*

- $J_1, J_2,$  and  $J_3$  are convex,  $J_2$  is strictly convex
- $J_1$  and  $J_3$  are weakly continuous,  $J_2$  is weakly lower semi-continuous

# Regularity of $J$

Let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , let  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Assume that  $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ . Let  $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$  and  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ . Then,

- $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$
- by Lemma 2,  $y_k$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that

$$y[u_k, y_0](t) \rightarrow y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

*Step 1:* This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), K y_k(T) \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K \bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus  $J_3$  is weakly continuous.

# Regularity of $J$

Let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , let  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Assume that  $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ . Let  $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$  and  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ . Then,

- $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$
- by Lemma 2,  $y_k$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that

$$y[u_k, y_0](t) \rightarrow y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

*Step 1:* This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), K y_k(T) \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K \bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus  $J_3$  is weakly continuous.

# Regularity of $J$

Let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , let  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Assume that  $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ . Let  $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$  and  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ . Then,

- $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$
- by Lemma 2,  $y_k$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that

$$y[u_k, y_0](t) \rightarrow y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

*Step 1:* This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), Ky_k(T) \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus  $J_3$  is weakly continuous.

# Regularity of $J$

Step 2: By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$J_1(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y_k(t), W y_k(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle \bar{y}(t), W \bar{y}(t) \rangle dt = J_1(\bar{u}).$$

Step 3: Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t)\|^2 - \|\bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}(t), u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t) \rangle dt}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\liminf J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) \geq 0$  and  $J_2$  is weakly lower semi-continuous.

# Regularity of $J$

Step 2: By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$J_1(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y_k(t), W y_k(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle \bar{y}(t), W \bar{y}(t) \rangle dt = J_1(\bar{u}).$$

Step 3: Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t)\|^2 - \|\bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}(t), u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t) \rangle dt}_{\rightarrow 0} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\int_0^T \|u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\liminf J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) \geq 0$  and  $J_2$  is weakly lower semi-continuous.

# Existence result

## Lemma 5

For all  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the problem  $(P'(y_0))$  has a unique solution  $\bar{u}[y_0]$ . Moreover, there exists a constant  $M$ , independent of  $y_0$ , such that

$$\|\bar{u}[y_0]\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a **minimizing sequence**. W.l.o.g.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_k\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2 = J_2(u_k) \leq J(u_k) \leq J(0) \leq \frac{1}{2} (M \|y_0\|)^2.$$

Extracting a subsequence, we can assume that  $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ , for some  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . We have  $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M \|y_0\|$ , moreover

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf J(u_k) = \inf_{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} J(u).$$

Thus,  $\bar{u}$  is optimal. Strict convexity of  $J \implies$  uniqueness.



- 1 Existence of a solution
- 2 Pontryagin's principle
- 3 Riccati equation
- 4 Shooting method

# Fréchet differentiability

## Definition 6

The map  $J$  is said to be **Fréchet differentiable** if for any  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , there exists a continuous linear form  $DJ(u): L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\frac{|J(u+v) - J(u) - DJ(u)v|}{\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}} \xrightarrow{\|v\|_{L^2} \downarrow 0} 0.$$

*Remark.* A sufficient condition for Fréchet differentiability is to have

$$|J(u+v) - J(u) - DJ(u)v| \leq M\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2,$$

for all  $v$  and for some  $M$  independent of  $v$ .

## Fréchet differentiability

## Lemma 7

The map  $J$  is Fréchet differentiable. Let  $\bar{u}$  and  $v \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Let  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$  and let  $z \in y[v, 0]$ . Omitting the time variable,

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \int_0^T \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle dt + \langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* First,  $y[u + v, y_0] - y[u, y_0] = y[v, 0] = z$ .

We have

$$J_1(\bar{u} + v) - J_1(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle dt}_{=DJ_1(\bar{u})v} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle z, Wz \rangle dt}_{=O(\|z\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2)} \\ = O(\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2)$$

# Fréchet differentiability

Similarly, we have

$$J_2(\bar{u} + v) - J_2(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle dt}_{=DJ_2(\bar{u})v} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2.$$

and

$$J_3(\bar{u} + v) - J_3(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle}_{=DJ_3(\bar{u})v} + \langle z(T), Kz(T) \rangle.$$

# Riesz representative

**Pre-hamiltonian:** given  $(u, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$H(u, y, p) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle y, Wy \rangle + \|u\|^2) + \langle p, Ay + Bu \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_y H(u, y, p) = Wy + A^\top p \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u H(u, y, p) = u + B^\top p.$$

## Lemma 8

Let  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Let  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ . Let  $p \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the solution to

$$-\dot{p}(t) = \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), \quad p(T) = K\bar{y}(T).$$

Then,

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \int_0^T \langle \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), v(t) \rangle dt.$$

# Riesz representative

**Pre-hamiltonian:** given  $(u, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$H(u, y, p) = \frac{1}{2} (\langle y, Wy \rangle + \|u\|^2) + \langle p, Ay + Bu \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_y H(u, y, p) = Wy + A^\top p \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u H(u, y, p) = u + B^\top p.$$

## Lemma 8

Let  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Let  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ . Let  $p \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the solution to

$$-\dot{p}(t) = \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), \quad p(T) = K\bar{y}(T).$$

Then,

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \left\langle \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{y}(\cdot), p(\cdot)), v \right\rangle_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

# Riesz representative

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle &= \langle p(T), z(T) \rangle - \langle p(0), z(0) \rangle \\
 &= \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), z(t) \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \langle \dot{p}(t), z(t) \rangle + \langle p(t), \dot{z}(t) \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \langle -A^\top p - W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle p, Az + Bv \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T -\langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle B^\top p, v \rangle dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combined with Lemma 7 and the expression of  $\nabla_u H(u, y, p)$ , we obtain the result.

# Pontryagin's principle

## Theorem 9

Let  $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Let  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ . Let  $\bar{p}$  be defined by the adjoint equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{\bar{p}}(t) &= \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = A^\top \bar{p}(t) + W \bar{y}(t), \\ \bar{p}(T) &= K \bar{y}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\bar{u}$  is a solution to  $(P'(y_0))$  if and only if

$$\bar{u}(t) + B^\top \bar{p}(t) = \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

*Proof.* Since  $J$  is convex,  $\bar{u}$  is optimal if and only if  $DJ(\bar{u}) = 0$ .

*Remark.* By convexity of  $H(\cdot, \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t))$ ,

$$\nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = 0 \iff \bar{u}(t) \in \underset{v \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} H(v, \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)).$$



# Estimate of $p$

## Lemma 10

Let  $\bar{u}$  denote the solution to  $(P'(y_0))$ , let  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ , and let  $\bar{p}$  be the associated costate. Then, there exists a constant  $M$ , independent of  $y_0$ , such that

$$\|\bar{p}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M\|y_0\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{p}\|_{H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M\|y_0\|.$$

*Proof.* We know that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M\|y_0\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{y}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M\|y_0\|.$$

Denote  $\tilde{p}(t) = \bar{p}(T - t)$ . Then  $\tilde{p}$  is solution to

$$\tilde{p}(t) = A^\top \tilde{p}(t) + W\bar{y}(T - t), \quad \tilde{p}(0) = K\bar{y}(T).$$

Duhamel  $\implies$  bounds of  $\tilde{p}$  in  $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

# A last formula

## Lemma 11

Let  $\bar{u} = \bar{u}[y_0]$ , let  $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ , and let  $\bar{p}$  be the associated costate. Then,

$$V(y_0) := \left( \inf_{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} J(u) \right) = J(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2J_3(\bar{u}) &= \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = \langle \bar{p}(T), \bar{y}(T) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \langle \bar{p}(t), \bar{y}(t) \rangle dt + \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

# A last formula

We further have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \langle \bar{p}(t), \bar{y}(t) \rangle dt &= \int_0^T \langle \dot{\bar{p}}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle \bar{p}, \dot{\bar{y}} \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \langle -A^\top \bar{p} - W\bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle \bar{p}, A\bar{y} + B\bar{u} \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T -\langle W\bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle B^\top \bar{p}, \bar{u} \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T -\langle W\bar{y}, \bar{y} \rangle - \|\bar{u}\|^2 dt \\
 &= -2J_1(\bar{u}) - 2J_2(\bar{u}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two equalities, we obtain

$$J(\bar{u}) = J_1(\bar{u}) + J_2(\bar{u}) + J_3(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle.$$



# Linear optimality system

The numerical resolution of  $(P'(y_0))$  boils down to the numerical resolution of the following **linear optimality system**:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \dot{y}(t) - Ay(t) - Bu(t) = 0 & \text{State equation} \\ \dot{p}(t) + A^\top p(t) + Wy(t) = 0 & \text{Adjoint equation} \\ u(t) + B^\top p(t) = 0 & \text{Minimality condition} \\ p(T) - Ky(T) = 0 & \text{Initial condition} \\ y(0) = y_0. & \text{Terminal condition} \end{array} \right.$$

More precisely: Theorem 17 ensures that the optimality system has a unique solution, which is  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p})$ .

# Linear optimality system

After elimination of  $u = -B^T p$ , we obtain the **coupled** system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{y}(t) - Ay(t) + BB^T p(t) = 0 \\ \dot{p}(t) + A^T p(t) + Wy(t) = 0 \\ \rho(T) - Ky(T) = 0 \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{array} \right. \quad (OS(y_0))$$

# Key idea

A key idea is to **decouple** the linear system, by constructing a map

$$E: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

independent of  $y_0$ , such that for any solution  $(y, p)$  to  $(OS(y_0))$ , we have

$$p(t) = -E(t)y(t).$$

*Roadmap.* Once  $E$  has been constructed, we have:

$$\dot{y} = Ay + Bu = Ay - BB^T p = (A + BB^T E)y$$

together with the initial condition  $y(0) = y_0$ . Thus,  $y$  can be computed by solving a linear differential system. Then,  $p$  and  $u$  are obtained via

$$p = -Ey \quad \text{and} \quad u = -B^T p.$$

# Key idea

A key idea is to **decouple** the linear system, by constructing a map

$$E: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

independent of  $y_0$ , such that for any solution  $(y, p)$  to  $(OS(y_0))$ , we have

$$p(t) = -E(t)y(t).$$

*Roadmap.* Once  $E$  has been constructed, we have:

$$\dot{y} = Ay + Bu = Ay - BB^T p = (A + BB^T E)y$$

together with the initial condition  $y(0) = y_0$ . Thus,  $y$  can be computed by solving a linear differential system. Then,  $p$  and  $u$  are obtained via

$$p = -Ey \quad \text{and} \quad u = -B^T p.$$



# Derivation of the Riccati equation

Wanted:  $p = -Ey$ . The terminal condition  $p(T) = Ky(T)$  yields

$$E(T) = -K.$$

Next, by differentiation, we have:

$$\dot{p} = -\dot{E}y - E\dot{y},$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{E}y &= \dot{p} + E\dot{y} \\ &= [-A^T p - Wy] + [E(Ay - BB^T p)] \\ &= [A^T Ey - Wy] + [E(Ay + BB^T Ey)] \\ &= (A^T E + EA - W + EBB^T E)y. \end{aligned}$$

# Riccati equation

## Theorem 12

There exists a unique smooth solution to the following matrix differential equation, called Riccati equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{E}(t) = A^\top E(t) + E(t)A - W + E(t)BB^\top E(t) \\ E(T) = -K. \end{cases} \quad (RE)$$

Moreover, for all  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the optimal trajectory  $\bar{y}$  for  $(P'(y_0))$  is the solution to the closed-loop system

$$\dot{y}(t) = (A + BB^\top E(t))y(t), \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

It also holds:

$$\bar{p}(t) = -E(t)\bar{y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}[y_0](t) = \underbrace{B^\top E(t)\bar{y}(t)}_{\text{Feedback law!}}. \quad (1)$$

# Riccati equation

*Proof. Step 1.* The only difficulty is to prove that  $(RE)$  is **well-posed**. Once we have a solution  $E$ , the closed-loop system and relation (1) define a triplet  $(u, y, p)$  which satisfies the linear optimality system:

- $(y, u)$  satisfies the state equation
- $u$  satisfies the minimality condition
- $p$  satisfies the adjoint equation:

$$-\dot{p} = \dot{E}y + E\dot{y} = \dots = A^T p + Wy.$$

Thus  $(u, y, p) = (\bar{u}, \bar{y}, \bar{p})$ .

# Riccati equation

*Step 2.* The Riccati equation has the abstract form:

$$-\dot{E}(t) = \mathcal{F}(E(t)), \quad E(T) = -K.$$

The map  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is polynomial, thus **locally Lipschitz** continuous (but not globally Lipschitz continuous!).

By the Picard-Lindelöf theorem, there exists  $\tau \in [-\infty, T)$  such that  $(RE)$  has a unique solution on  $(\tau, T]$ . If  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\lim_{t \downarrow \tau} \|E(t)\| = \infty.$$

# Riccati equation

*Step 2.* The Riccati equation has the abstract form:

$$-\dot{E}(t) = \mathcal{F}(E(t)), \quad E(T) = -K.$$

The map  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is polynomial, thus **locally Lipschitz** continuous (but not globally Lipschitz continuous!).

By the Picard-Lindelöf theorem, there exists  $\tau \in [-\infty, T)$  such that  $(RE)$  has a unique solution on  $(\tau, T]$ . If  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\lim_{t \downarrow \tau} \|E(t)\| = \infty.$$

# Riccati equation

*Step 3.* Assume that  $\tau \geq 0$ . Let  $s \in (\tau, T]$ . Let  $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\substack{y \in H^1(s, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \in L^2(s, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}} \frac{1}{2} \int_s^T \left( \langle y(t), W y(t) \rangle + \|u(t)\|^2 \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \langle y(T), K y(T) \rangle \\ & \text{subject to: } \begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = A y(t) + B u(t) \\ y(s) = y_s. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Adapting the theory developed previously, we prove the existence of a unique solution  $(\bar{u}, \bar{y})$  with associated costate  $p$ , such that

$$p(s) = -E(s)y_s \quad \text{and} \quad \|p(s)\| \leq M \|y_s\|. \quad (2)$$

Here the constant  $M$  is independent of  $y_s$ ,  $(\bar{u}, \bar{y})$  and  $p$ , it can also be shown to be independent of  $s$ .

# Riccati equation

*Step 3.* Assume that  $\tau \geq 0$ . Let  $s \in (\tau, T]$ . Let  $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider

$$\inf_{\substack{y \in H^1(s, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \in L^2(s, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}} \frac{1}{2} \int_s^T \left( \langle y(t), W y(t) \rangle + \|u(t)\|^2 \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \langle y(T), K y(T) \rangle$$

subject to: 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = A y(t) + B u(t) \\ y(s) = y_s. \end{cases}$$

Adapting the theory developed previously, we prove the existence of a unique solution  $(\bar{u}, \bar{y})$  with associated costate  $p$ , such that

$$p(s) = -E(s)y_s \quad \text{and} \quad \|p(s)\| \leq M \|y_s\|. \quad (2)$$

Here the constant  $M$  is independent of  $y_s$ ,  $(\bar{u}, \bar{y})$  and  $p$ , it can also be shown to be independent of  $s$ .

# Riccati equation

*Conclusion.* Let  $s > 0$  be such that

$$\|E(s)\| \geq M + 2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm and where  $M$  is the constant appearing in (2).

Let  $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be such that

$$\|E(s)y_s\| \geq (M + 1)\|y_s\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|p(s)\| \geq (M + 1)\|y_s\| > M\|y_s\|.$$

A contradiction.



# Riccati equation

*Conclusion.* Let  $s > 0$  be such that

$$\|E(s)\| \geq M + 2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm and where  $M$  is the constant appearing in (2).

Let  $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be such that

$$\|E(s)y_s\| \geq (M + 1)\|y_s\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|p(s)\| \geq (M + 1)\|y_s\| > M\|y_s\|.$$

A contradiction.

# Additional properties

## Lemma 13

1 For all  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$V(y_0) := \left( \inf_{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} J(u) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, E(0)y_0 \rangle.$$

2 For all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $E(t)$  is symmetric negative semi-definite.

3 For all  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nabla V(y_0) = \bar{p}(0)$ .

*Proof.*

1 We have  $V(y_0) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{p}(0), y_0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, E(0)y_0 \rangle$ .

2 Verify that  $E^\top$  is the solution  $(RE)$ . Moreover,  $V(y_0) \geq 0$ .

3 We have  $\nabla V(y_0) = -E(0)y_0 = \bar{p}(0)$ .

1 Existence of a solution

2 Pontryagin's principle

3 Riccati equation

4 Shooting method

# Optimality system

Recall the **optimality system** to be solved:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = Ay - BB^T p, & y(0) = y_0, \\ \dot{p} = -A^T p - Wy, & p(T) = Ky(T). \end{cases}$$

Equivalently:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} A & -BB^T \\ W & A^T \end{pmatrix}}_{=:R} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad p(T) = Ky(T).$$

The optimality system is a **two-point boundary value problem**.

If  $p(0)$  was known, then the differential system could be solved numerically.

**Shooting method:** find  $p(0)$  such that  $p(T) = Ky(T)$ .

Setting  $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} = e^{TR}$ , we have the equivalent formulation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(T) \\ p(T) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad p(T) = Ky(T).$$

The optimality system reduces to the **shooting equation**:

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 y_0 + X_4 p(0) &= K(X_1 y_0 + X_2 p(0)) \\ \iff p(0) &= (X_4 - KX_2)^{-1}(KX_1 - X_3)y_0. \end{aligned} \quad (SE)$$

# Shooting algorithm

In the LQ case, the shooting algorithm consists then in the following steps:

- Compute  $e^{TR}$ , by solving the **matrix differential equation**

$$\dot{X}(t) = RX(t), \quad X(0) = I,$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ .

- Solve the **shooting equation (SE)** and find  $p_0$ .
- Solve the **differential equation**

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The optimal control is given by  $u = -B^T p$ .